**BACKGROUND**

- Future Reproductive Technologies (FRT) are innovations such as the artificial womb and gene editing.
- Their impact on society remains uncertain and ambiguous.
- There is a need for inclusivity and reflection in the societal discussion on FRT.
- Lay people’s ethical perspectives on FRT are important for responsible innovation.

**RESEARCH AIM**

To contribute to the inclusive and reflective qualities of Next Nature Network’s interactive Reprodutopia expo by investigating public perspectives on future reproductive technologies in lay ethics discussions.

**THEORY AND CONCEPTS**

- People use frames of meaning to make sense of complex issues.
- Reflection on frames of meaning occurs on four different levels.
- Each level of reflection is influenced by the level below it.

**METHODS**

- Five focus groups
- 25 participants: different ages, parents and non-parents
- Three discussion rounds
  - Outcomes of one round serve as input for the next.
  - Round 1: First associations
  - Round 2: Reflection on fictional profiles
  - Round 3: Narrative co-creation
- Combination of open and selective coding: horizontal and vertical analysis.

**KEY RESULTS**

FRT as a double-edged sword: It will be designed to solve issues, but may create new ones.

Solves medical issues
- Gender equality
- Reproductive choice
- Individual freedom

Unforeseen side effects
- Class divides
- Overpopulation
- Bad intentions

**DISCUSSION**

- Co-created narratives reflected the themes and levels of discussion.
- Different themes co-occurred on the same level of reflection.
- Strength: focus group design with creative dialogue elements.
- Limitation: diversity of participant sample.
- Implication: efforts to enrich the societal discussion on FRT (e.g. Reprodutopia) can be made more inclusive and reflective by incorporating lay people’s double-sided views on FRT.